IT Center RWTH AACHEN UNIVERSITY

More on OpenMP Tasking

Christian Terboven, Dirk Schmidl

IT Center, RWTH Aachen University
{terboven,schmidl}@itc.rwth-aachen.de

IT Center | RWTH AACHEN UNIVERSITY

Sudoku

Parallel Brute-force Sudoku

- **This parallel algorithm finds all valid solutions**

	6						8	11			15	14			16
15	11				16	14				12			6		
13		9	12					3	16	14		15	11	10	
2		16		11		15	10	1							
	15	11	10			16	2	13	8	9	12				
12	13			4	1	5	6	2	3					11	10
5		6	1	12		9		15	11	10	7	16			3
	2				10		11	6		5			13		9
10	7	15	11	16				12	13						6
9						1			2		16	10			11
1		4	6	9	13			7		11		3	16		
16	14			7		10	15	4	6	1				13	8
11	10		15				16	9	12	13			1	5	4
		12		1	4	6		16				11	10		
		5		8	12	13		10			11	2			14
3	16			10			7			6				12	

(1) Search an empty field

(2) Insert a number and check Sudoku

(3 a) If invalid:
Delete number,
Insert next number

(3 b) If valid:
Go to next field

Wait for completion

Parallel Brute-force Sudoku

- **This parallel algorithm finds all valid solutions**

first call contained in a
`#pragma omp parallel`
`#pragma omp single`
such that one tasks starts the execution of the algorithm

`#pragma omp task`
needs to work on a new copy of the Sudoku board

`#pragma omp taskwait`
wait for all child tasks

(1) Search an empty field

(2) Insert a number and check Sudoku

(3 a) If invalid: Delete number, Insert next number

(3 b) If valid: Go to next field

Wait for completion

Parallel Brute-force Sudoku (2/3)

- **OpenMP parallel region creates a team of threads**

```
#pragma omp parallel
{
#pragma omp single
   solve_parallel(0, 0, sudoku2,false);
} // end omp parallel
```

→ Single construct: One thread enters the execution of `solve_parallel`

→ the other threads wait at the end of the `single` …

→ … and are ready to pick up threads „from the work queue“

- **Syntactic sugar (either you like it or you don‘t)**

```
#pragma omp parallel sections
{
   solve_parallel(0, 0, sudoku2,false);
} // end omp parallel
```

Parallel Brute-force Sudoku (3/3)

- The actual implementation

```
for (int i = 1; i <= sudoku->getFieldSize(); i++) {
   if (!sudoku->check(x, y, i)) {
#pragma omp task firstprivate(i,x,y,sudoku)
{
      // create from copy constructor
      CSudokuBoard new_sudoku(*sudoku);
      new_sudoku.set(y, x, i);
      if (solve_parallel(x+1, y, &new_sudoku)) {
         new_sudoku.printBoard();
      }
} // end omp task
   }
}

#pragma omp taskwait
```

`#pragma omp task`
need to work on a new copy of the Sudoku board

`#pragma omp taskwait`
wait for all child tasks

Performance Evaluation



Performance Analysis

Event-based profiling gives a good overview :



Every thread is executing ~1.3m tasks…



… in ~5.7 seconds.

=> average duration of a task is ~4.4 μs

Tracing gives more details:









Tasks get much smaller down the call-stack.

Performance Analysis

Event-based profiling gives a good overview :

Tracing gives more details:

lvl 6

Duration: 0.16 sec

lvl 12

Duration: 0.047 sec

lvl 48

Duration: 0.001 sec

lvl 82

Duration: 2.2 µs

Performance and Scalability Tuning Idea: If you have created sufficiently many tasks to make you cores busy, stop creating more tasks!

- if-clause
- final-clause, mergeable-clause
- natively in your program code

Example: stop recursion

Every thread is executing ~1.3m tasks…

… in ~5.7 seconds.

=> average duration of a task is ~4.4 µs

Tasks get much smaller down the call-stack.

Performance Evaluation



Scheduling

Tasks in OpenMP: Scheduling

- **Default: Tasks are *tied* to the thread that first executes them → not neccessarily the creator. Scheduling constraints:**
  - → Only the thread a task is tied to can execute it
  - → A task can only be suspended at task scheduling points
    - → Task creation, task finish, `taskwait`, `barrier`, `taskyield`
  - → If task is not suspended in a barrier, executing thread can only switch to a direct descendant of all tasks tied to the thread
- **Tasks created with the `untied` clause are never tied**
  - → Resume at task scheduling points possibly by different thread
  - → ~~No scheduling restrictions, e.g., can be suspended at any point~~
  - → But: More freedom to the implementation, e.g., load balancing

Unsafe use of `untied` Tasks

it | RWTH AACHEN UNIVERSITY

- **Problem: Because untied tasks may migrate between threads at any point, thread-centric constructs can yield unexpected results**

- **Remember when using `untied` tasks:**
  - → Avoid `threadprivate` variable
  - → Avoid any use of thread-ids (i.e., `omp_get_thread_num()`)
  - → Be careful with `critical region` and *locks*

- **Simple Solution:**
  - → Create a tied task region with

```
#pragma omp task if(0)
```

The taskyield Directive

- **The `taskyield` directive specifies that the current task can be suspended in favor of execution of a different task.**
  - → Hint to the runtime for optimization and/or deadlock prevention

C/C++	Fortran
`#pragma omp taskyield`	`!$omp taskyield`

taskyield Example (1/2)

```
#include <omp.h>

void something_useful();
void something_critical();

void foo(omp_lock_t * lock, int n)
{
   for(int i = 0; i < n; i++)
      #pragma omp task
      {
         something_useful();
         while( !omp_test_lock(lock) ) {
            #pragma omp taskyield
         }
         something_critical();
         omp_unset_lock(lock);
      }
}
```

taskyield Example (2/2)

RWTH AACHEN UNIVERSITY

```
#include <omp.h>

void something_useful();
void something_critical();

void foo(omp_lock_t * lock, int n)
{
   for(int i = 0; i < n; i++)
      #pragma omp task
      {
         something_useful();
         while( !omp_test_lock(lock) ) {
            #pragma omp taskyield
         }
         something_critical();
         omp_unset_lock(lock);
      }
}
```

The waiting task may be suspended here and allow the executing thread to perform other work; may also avoid deadlock situations.

RWTH AACHEN UNIVERSITY

Questions?