

# Programming OpenMP

NUMA

**Christian Terboven** 





### **OpenMP: Memory Access**

#### **Non-uniform Memory**





double\* A;

```
A = (double*)
```

```
malloc(N * sizeof(double));
```

```
for (int i = 0; i < N; i++) {
  A[i] = 0.0;
}
```



#### Programming in OpenMP

#### **Non-uniform Memory**



Serial code: all array elements are allocated in the memory of the NUMA node closest to the core executing the initializer thread (first touch)

```
double* A;
A = (double*)
    malloc(N * sizeof(double));
```

```
for (int i = 0; i < N; i++) {
    A[i] = 0.0;
}</pre>
```



#### Programming in OpenMP

#### **About Data Distribution**



Important aspect on cc-NUMA systems

→If not optimal, longer memory access times and hotspots

Placement comes from the Operating System

→This is therefore Operating System dependent

Windows, Linux and Solaris all use the "First Touch" placement policy by default

 $\rightarrow$ May be possible to override default (check the docs)

#### **Non-uniform Memory**



Serial code: all array elements are allocated in the memory of the NUMA node closest to the core executing the initializer thread (first touch)

```
double* A;
A = (double*)
    malloc(N * sizeof(double));
```

```
for (int i = 0; i < N; i++) {
    A[i] = 0.0;
}</pre>
```



#### Programming in OpenMP

#### **First Touch Memory Placement**



First Touch w/ parallel code: all array elements are allocated in the memory of the NUMA node that contains the core that executes the thread that initializes the partition

```
double* A;
A = (double*)
    malloc(N * sizeof(double));
omp_set_num_threads(2);
```

```
#pragma omp parallel for
for (int i = 0; i < N; i++) {
    A[i] = 0.0;
}</pre>
```



#### Programming in OpenMP

#### **Serial vs. Parallel Initialization**



Stream example on 2 socket sytem with Xeon X5675 processors, 12 OpenMP threads:



Programming in OpenMP

### **Get Info on the System Topology**



- Before you design a strategy for thread binding, you should have a basic understanding of the system topology. Please use one of the following options on a target machine:
  - →Intel MPI's cpuinfo tool
    - → cpuinfo
    - →Delivers information about the number of sockets (= packages) and the mapping of processor ids to cpu cores that the OS uses.
  - hwlocs' hwloc-ls tool
    - → hwloc-ls
    - →Displays a graphical representation of the system topology, separated into NUMA nodes, along with the mapping of processor ids to cpu cores that the OS uses and additional info on caches.

### **Decide for Binding Strategy**



Selecting the "right" binding strategy depends not only on the topology, but also on application characteristics.

→Putting threads far apart, i.e., on different sockets

→May improve aggregated memory bandwidth available to application

 $\rightarrow$ May improve the combined cache size available to your application

 $\rightarrow$ May decrease performance of synchronization constructs

→Putting threads close together, i.e., on two adjacent cores that possibly share some caches

→May improve performance of synchronization constructs

 $\rightarrow$ May decrease the available memory bandwidth and cache size

Programming in OpenMP Christian Terboven & Members of the OpenMP Language Committee

### Places + Binding Policies (1/2)



#### Define OpenMP Places

- → set of OpenMP threads running on one or more processors
- → can be defined by the user, i.e. OMP\_PLACES=cores

#### Define a set of OpenMP Thread Affinity Policies

- → SPREAD: spread OpenMP threads evenly among the places, partition the place list
- → CLOSE: pack OpenMP threads near master thread
- → MASTER: collocate OpenMP thread with master thread

#### Goals

- $\rightarrow$  user has a way to specify where to execute OpenMP threads
- Iocality between OpenMP threads / less false sharing / memory bandwidth



#### **Places**

12

#### Assume the following machine:

p0 p1 p2 p3 p4 p5 p6 p7

- $\rightarrow$  2 sockets, 4 cores per socket, 4 hyper-threads per core
- Abstract names for OMP\_PLACES:
  - $\rightarrow$  threads: Each place corresponds to a single hardware thread on the target machine.
  - → cores: Each place corresponds to a single core (having one or more hardware threads) on the target machine.
  - → sockets: Each place corresponds to a single socket (consisting of one or more cores) on the target machine.
  - $\rightarrow$  II\_caches: Each place corresponds to a set of cores that share the last level cache.
  - numa\_domains: Each place corresponds to a set of cores for which their closest memory is: the same memory; and at a similar distance from the cores.

### Places + Binding Policies (2/2)



Example's Objective:

→ separate cores for outer loop and near cores for inner loop

Outer Parallel Region: proc\_bind(spread) num\_threads(4) Inner Parallel Region: proc\_bind(close) num\_threads(4)

 $\rightarrow$  spread creates partition, compact binds threads within respective partition OMP\_PLACES=(0,1,2,3), (4,5,6,7), ... = (0-3):8:4 = cores

#pragma omp parallel proc\_bind(spread) num\_threads(4)
#pragma omp parallel proc\_bind(close) num\_threads(4)



Programming in OpenMP

13

## Open**MP**

### More Examples (1/3)

Assume the following machine:

p0 p1 p2 p3 p4 p5 p6 p7

 $\rightarrow$ 2 sockets, 4 cores per socket, 4 hyper-threads per core

Parallel Region with two threads, one per socket

 $\rightarrow$  OMP\_PLACES=sockets

+#pragma omp parallel num\_threads(2) proc\_bind(spread)

### More Examples (2/3)



Assume the following machine:

p0 p1 p2 p3 p4 p5 p6 p7

Parallel Region with four threads, one per core, but only on the first socket

→OMP\_PLACES=cores

+#pragma omp parallel num\_threads(4) proc\_bind(close)

### More Examples (3/3)



Spread a nested loop first across two sockets, then among the cores within each socket, only one thread per core

 $\rightarrow$ OMP PLACES=cores

 $\rightarrow$  #pragma omp parallel num\_threads(2) proc\_bind(spread)

 $\rightarrow$  #pragma omp parallel num\_threads(4) proc\_bind(close)



## Working with OpenMP Places

## Places API (1/2)



- 1: Query information about binding and a single place of all places with ids 0 ... omp\_get\_num\_places():
- omp\_proc\_bind\_t omp\_get\_proc\_bind(): returns the thread affinity policy
   (omp\_proc\_bind\_false, true, master, ...)
- int omp get num places(): returns the number of places
- Int omp\_get\_place\_num\_procs(int place\_num): returns the number of processors in the given place
- void omp\_get\_place\_proc\_ids(int place\_num, int\* ids): returns the ids of the processors in the given place

## Places API (2/2)



- 2: Query information about the place partition:
- Int omp\_get\_place\_num(): returns the place number of the place to which the current thread is bound
  - Int omp\_get\_partition\_num\_places(): returns the number of places in the current partition
- void omp\_get\_partition\_place\_nums(int\* pns): returns the list of place
  numbers corresponding to the places in the current partition

#### **Places API: Example**



Simple routine printing the processor ids of the place the calling thread is bound to:

```
void print binding info() {
     int my place = omp get place num();
     int place num procs = omp get place num procs (my place);
     printf("Place consists of %d processors: ", place num procs);
     int *place processors = malloc(sizeof(int) * place num procs);
     omp get place proc ids (my place, place processors)
     for (int i = 0; i < place num procs - 1; i++) {
             printf("%d ", place processors[i]);
     printf("\n");
     free(place processors);
```

#### **OpenMP 5.0 way to do this**



#### **Set** OMP\_DISPLAY\_AFFINITY=TRUE

→Instructs the runtime to display formatted affinity information

→Example output for two threads on two physical cores:

nesting_level=	1,	thread_num=	Ο,	thread_affinity=	0,1
nesting_level=	1,	thread_num=	1,	thread_affinity=	2,3

→Output can be formatted with OMP\_AFFINITY\_FORMAT env var or
corresponding routine

 $\rightarrow$  Formatted affinity information can be printed with

omp\_display\_affinity(const char\* format)

#### **Affinity format specification**



t	<pre>omp_get_team_num()</pre>	
---	-------------------------------	--

- T omp\_get\_num\_teams()
- L omp\_get\_level()
- n omp\_get\_thread\_num()
- N omp\_get\_num\_threads()

а	<pre>omp_get_ancestor_thread_num() at level-1</pre>
Н	hostname
Ρ	process identifier
i	native thread identifier
A	thread affinity: list of processors (cores)

#### Example:

OMP\_AFFINITY\_FORMAT="Affinity: %0.3L %.8n %.15{A} %.12H"

#### $\rightarrow$ Possible output:

Affinity:	001	0	0-1,16-17	host003
Affinity:	001	1	2-3,18-19	host003



## A first summary

### A first summary



Everything under control?In principle Yes, but only if

 $\rightarrow$ threads can be bound explicitly,

 $\rightarrow$ data can be placed well by first-touch, or can be migrated,

 $\rightarrow$ you focus on a specific platform (= OS + arch)  $\rightarrow$  no portability

What if the data access pattern changes over time?

What if you use more than one level of parallelism?



- First Touch: Modern operating systems (i.e., Linux >= 2.4) decide for a physical location of a memory page during the first page fault, when the page is first "touched", and put it close to the CPU causing the page fault.
- Explicit Migration: Selected regions of memory (pages) are moved from one NUMA node to another via explicit OS syscall.
- Automatic Migration: Limited support in current Linux systems.
  Not made for HPC and disabled on most HPC systems.

### **User Control of Memory Affinity**



Explicit NUMA-aware memory allocation:

- $\rightarrow$  By carefully touching data by the thread which later uses it
- $\rightarrow$  By changing the default memory allocation strategy
  - →Linux: numactl command
  - →Windows: VirtualAllocExNuma() (limited functionality)
- $\rightarrow$  By explicit migration of memory pages
  - →Linux: move\_pages()
  - →Windows: no option

#### Example: using numactl to distribute pages round-robin:

```
>numactl -interleave=all ./a.out
```